Superpotentials, A_{∞} Relations and WDVV Equations for Open Topological Strings

M. Herbst, C. Lazaroiu, W. Lerche, hep-th/0402110

- 1. Closed string TCFT
- 2. Basic quantities in open string TCFT
- 3. Consistency relations
 - (a) A_{∞} relations
 - (b) Bulk-boundary crossing relation
 - (c) Cardy relation
- 4. Application: LG minimal models

Notation/Language/WhatIsItAbout

- "bulk" sector = closed string sector: operators ϕ_i , deformation parameters t_i
- "boundary", "brane" sector = open string sector; *D*-branes = boundary conditions; operators ψ , deformation parameters *s*: "boundary preserving": $\psi_a \equiv \psi_{aa} \sim \text{Hom}(a, a)$ "boundary changing": $\psi_{ab} \sim \text{Hom}(a, b)$
- objective: compute $\mathcal{W}(t_i, s_a)$, which is understood here as generating function of deformed disk correlators \mathcal{F}

• Derive conditions on \mathcal{A} (and thus, \mathcal{W}) from TFT consistency conditions (Moore, Segal, Lazaroiu)

TCFT on the sphere (I)

cohomological TCFT from twisting a $\mathcal{N} = (2,2)$ SCFT

$$Q^2 = 0$$

$$T(z) = [Q, G(z)]$$

physical fields and descendants:

$$[Q, \phi_i] = 0$$

$$[Q, \phi_i^{(1,0)}] = \partial \phi_i$$

$$[Q, \phi_i^{(0,1)}] = \bar{\partial} \phi_i$$

$$[Q, \phi_i^{(2)}] = d\phi_i^{(1)}$$

integrated insertions:

$$[Q, \int_{S^2} \phi_i^{(2)}] = 0$$

basic deformed correlation functions (fix SL(2, C)):

$$C_{i_1\ldots i_n} := \left\langle \phi_{i_1}\ldots \phi_{i_3} \int \phi_{i_4}^{(2)} \ldots \int \phi_{i_n}^{(2)} \right\rangle_{S^2}$$

depend on Q-cohomology classes and are independent of world-sheet metric and are constant.

3

TCFT on the sphere (II)

write in terms of deformed 3-point correlation functions:

$$C_{i_1i_2i_3}(t) = \langle \phi_{i_1}\phi_{i_2}\phi_{i_3} \ e^{\sum_i t_i \int_{S^2} \phi_i^{(2)}} \rangle$$

Ward identities of the current G(z) and $\overline{G}(\overline{z})$ fix contact terms:

- correlation functions are symmetric under exchange of all fields.
- the 2-point function is independent of perturbations.

integrability (DVV):

$$\partial_{i_0}C_{i_1i_2i_3}(t) = \partial_{i_1}C_{i_0i_2i_3}(t)$$

prepotential \mathcal{F} (eff. lagrangian in N = 2 supergravity):

 $C_{i_1i_2i_3}(t) = \partial_{i_1}\partial_{i_2}\partial_{i_3}\mathcal{F}(t)$

factorization - WDVV equations:

 $\partial_i \partial_j \partial_m \mathcal{F} \eta^{mn} \partial_n \partial_k \partial_l \mathcal{F} = \partial_i \partial_k \partial_m \mathcal{F} \eta^{mn} \partial_n \partial_j \partial_l \mathcal{F}$

What is the open string analog ?

TCFT on the disk (I)

boundary conditions - $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2) \rightarrow \mathcal{N} = 2$:

$$T(z) = \bar{T}(\bar{z})\big|_{z=\bar{z}} ,$$

$$G(z) = \bar{G}(\bar{z})\big|_{z=\bar{z}} ,$$

physical fields and descendants:

$$[Q, \psi_a] = 0$$
$$[Q, \psi_a^{(1)}] = d\psi_a$$

integrated insertions:

$$[Q, \int_{D^2} \phi_i^{(2)}] = \int_{\partial D^2} \phi_i^{(1)} , \qquad [Q, \int_{\tau_L}^{\tau_R} \psi_a^{(1)}] = \psi_a \Big|_{\tau_L}^{\tau_R}$$

 ${\it Q}$ maps to the boundary of the (super)integration domain!

The boundary contributions are a new feature, and complication, as compared to the closed string correlators on the sphere

TCFT on the disk (II)

basic correlation functions (fix SL(2, R)):

$$B_{a_0...a_m;i_1...i_n} := - \left\langle \phi_{i_1}\psi_{a_0} P \int \psi_{a_1}^{(1)} \dots \int \psi_{a_m}^{(1)} \int \phi_{i_2}^{(2)} \dots \int \phi_{i_n}^{(2)} \right\rangle$$

= $(-1)^{\sum_{\ell=1}^{m-1} (a_\ell+1)} \left\langle \psi_{a_0}\psi_{a_1} P \int \psi_{a_2}^{(1)} \dots \int \psi_{a_{m-1}}^{(1)} \psi_{a_m} \int \phi_{i_1}^{(2)} \dots \int \phi_{i_n}^{(2)} \right\rangle$

Ward identity of the current G(z):

- the metric $\omega_{ab}:=\left<\psi_a\psi_b\right>$ does not get corrections from integrated insertions
- the correlators are constant, independent of WS- metric
- Ward identity relates the two kinds of correlation functions (exceptions: $B_{a;i}$, $B_{ab;i}$ and B_{abc})
- the correlators are symmetric in bulk fields: integrability wrt t_{i}

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{a_0\dots a_m}(t) &:= \pm \langle \psi_{a_0}\psi_{a_1}P \int \psi_{a_2}\dots \int \psi_{a_{m-1}}\psi_{a_m}e^{\sum_i t_i \int_{D^2} \phi_i^{(2)}} \rangle &, \\ \partial_i \mathcal{F}_a(t) &:= - \langle \phi_i \ \psi_a \ e^{\sum_i t_i \int_{D^2} \phi_i^{(2)}} \rangle &, \\ \partial_i \mathcal{F}_{ab}(t) &:= - \langle \phi_i \ \psi_a \ P \int \psi_b^{(1)} \ e^{\sum_i t_i \int_{D^2} \phi_i^{(2)}} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

- Note: tadpoles $\mathcal{F}_a(t)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{ab}(t)$ vanish, if the bulk moduli t_i are turned off (ie., switching them on requires adjusting boundary deformations).
- Grassmann grading:

While integrated and unintegrated bulk fields have the same Z_2 grade, the grades of a physical boundary field and its integrated descendant differ

"suspended" grading: $\tilde{a} = |\psi_a| + 1 \pmod{2}$

assoc with deformation parameters $(s_a \int \psi_a^{(1)})$: $|s_a| = \tilde{a}$

• the correlators are invariant under cyclic permutations of the boundary fields:

 $\mathcal{F}_{a_0...a_m}(t) = (-1)^{ ilde{a}_m(ilde{a}_0+...+ ilde{a}_{m-1})}\mathcal{F}_{a_ma_0...a_{m-1}}(t)$

The suspended grading \tilde{a}_l is the natural one!

Superpotential \mathcal{W}

Infinite sequence of *t*-dependent prepotentials:

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{F}(t) & \text{prepotential (on the sphere)} \\ \mathcal{F}_{a_1}(t) & \\ \mathcal{F}_{a_1a_2}(t) & \\ \mathcal{F}_{a_1a_2a_3}(t) & \\ \mathcal{F}_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}(t) & \\ \vdots & \end{array} \right\} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{cyclic correlators (on the disk)} \\ \text{do in general not integrate} \end{array}$$

Encode in generating function $\ensuremath{\mathcal{W}}$:

$$egin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{W}(s,t) &=& \displaystyle\sum_{m\geq 1} \; rac{1}{m} s_{a_m} \ldots s_{a_1} \; \mathcal{F}_{a_1 \ldots a_m}(t) \ &=& \displaystyle\sum_{m\geq 1} \; rac{1}{m!} s_{a_m} \ldots s_{a_1} \; \mathcal{A}_{a_1 \ldots a_m}(t) \end{array}$$

symmetrized string amplitude (for boundary preserving sectors):

$$\mathcal{A}_{a_1...a_m}(t) := (m-1)! \mathcal{F}_{a_1(a_2...a_m)}(t)$$

ordering matters for the boundary changing open string "moduli" $s_a \sim$ non-commutative (cyclic derivatives)

8

 A_{∞} relations (I)

$$\langle [Q, \phi_{i_0}\psi_{a_0}P \int \psi_{a_1}^{(1)} \dots \int \psi_{a_m}^{(1)} \int \phi_{i_1}^{(2)} \dots \int \phi_{i_n}^{(2)}] \rangle = 0$$

 ${\it Q}$ maps to the boundary of the (super)integration domain:

- $m \geq 2$ boundary fields and $n \geq 0$ bulk fields approach each other
- $m \geq 0$ boundary fields and $n \geq 1$ bulk fields approach each other



The factorization by insertion of a complete system of boundary fields leads to a cyclic A_{∞} structure:

$$\sum_{\substack{k,j \,=\, 0 \ k \,\leq\, j}}^m \; (-1)^{ ilde{a}_1+...+ ilde{a}_k} \; {\mathcal F}^{a_0}{}_{a_1...a_kca_{j+1}...a_m}(t) \; {\mathcal F}^c{}_{a_{k+1}...a_j}(t) \; = \; 0$$

...derivation quite technical!

9

 A_{∞} relations (II)

Define open string scattering products $r_m: H_o^{\otimes m} \to H_o$ through the relations:

$$egin{array}{rll} r_m(\psi_{a_1}\ldots\psi_{a_m}) & := & \mathcal{F}^a{}_{a_1\ldots a_m}(t) \,\,\psi_a \,\,\,\, ext{for}\,\,\,\,m\geq 1 \ r_o(1) \,\,\,\, := \,\,\,\mathcal{F}^a(t) \,\,\psi_a \,\,\,\,. \end{array}$$

Then the A_{∞} algebra becomes:

$$\sum_{\substack{k,j=0\k \leq j}}^m (-1)^{ ilde{a}_1+...+ ilde{a}_k} r_{m-j+k}(\psi_{a_1}\ldots\psi_{a_k},r_{j-k}(\psi_{a_{k+1}}\ldots\psi_{a_j}),\psi_{a_{j+1}}\ldots\psi_{a_m}) = 0$$

minimal A_∞	if	$r_0 = r_1 = 0$	undef. theory
(strong) A_∞	if	$r_0 = 0$	
DGA	if	$r_1, r_2 \neq 0$ only	
weak A_∞	if	$r_m \neq 0$ for $m = 0, 1, \dots$	def. theory

The bulk field insertions deform a minimal A_{∞} algebra into a weak A_{∞} algebra ($\mathcal{F}_a|_{t=0} = \mathcal{F}_{ab}|_{t=0} = 0$). (Hochschild cohomology)

open string field theory

Gaberdiel, Zwiebach (hep-th/9705038): OSFT has the structure of a cyclic A_{∞} algebra

Witten: (hep-th/9207094): topological open strings described by Chern-Simons theory as OSFT

Define a string field

$$\psi = \sum_{a} s_a \psi_a \in H_o$$

and non-degenerate bilinear form on H_o :

$$\omega(\psi_a,\psi_b) := \omega_{ab} = \langle \psi_a \psi_b \rangle$$

then the superpotential can (formally) be written as string field theory action:

$$\mathcal{W}(s,t) = \sum_{m \ge 0} rac{1}{m+1} \; \omega(\psi,r_m(\psi^{\otimes m}))$$

... tree diagrams, bubbling off disks (Kajiura)

bulk-boundary crossing symmetry



boundary fact:



$$\partial_{i}\partial_{j}\partial_{k}\mathcal{F}(t) \ \eta^{kl} \ \partial_{l}\mathcal{F}_{a_{0}a_{1}...a_{m}}(t) = \\ = \sum_{0 \le m_{1} \le ...m_{4} \le m} (-1)^{s} \ \mathcal{F}_{a_{0}...a_{m_{1}}ba_{m_{2}+1}...a_{m_{3}}ca_{m_{4}+1}...a_{m}}(t) \ \partial_{i}\mathcal{F}^{b}{}_{a_{m_{1}+1}...a_{m_{2}}}(t) \ \partial_{j}\mathcal{F}^{c}{}_{a_{m_{3}+1}...a_{m_{4}}}(t)$$

(sign: $s = \tilde{a}_{m_1+1} + \ldots + \tilde{a}_{m_3}$)

These equations relate the bulk prepotential $\mathcal{F}(t)$ to the disk correlation functions $\mathcal{F}_{a_1...a_m}(t)!$

topological Cardy relation





This powerful and important relation too relates bulk with boundary correlators

Summary: TFT consistency relations



These form an in general infinite system of algebraic and differential equations ... can we ever hope to solve them explicitly ?

Example: B-branes in topological minimal models

boundary Landau-Ginzburg action:

$$S \sim \int_{D^2} d^2 z d^2 \theta W_{LG}(x) + \int_{\partial D^2} d\tau d\theta \Pi J(x) , \quad (D\Pi = E(x))$$

(Warner, Kapustin, BHLS)

BRST operator/SUSY charge:
$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J \\ E & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The action is supersymmetric iff:
 $W_{LG} = \frac{1}{2}Q^2 = JE.$

Consider first undeformed theory (parameter k="level"): the bulk sector is governed by

$$W_{LG}(x) = \frac{x^{k+2}}{k+2} , \quad k \ge 1$$

the B-type D0-branes M_{ℓ} are given by the polynomial matrix factorizations of W_{LG} :

$$J(x) = x^{\ell+1}$$
, $E(x) = \frac{x^{k-\ell+1}}{k+2}$, $\ell = -1, 0, \dots, \left[\frac{k}{2}\right]$

15

Physical spectrum: Q-cohomology

boundary preserving physical fields (Hom (M_{ℓ}, M_{ℓ})): composed of x, $\omega = \text{even/odd}$ generators of boundary cohomology with relations

$$x^{\ell+1} = 0, \qquad \omega^2 = x^{k-2\ell}$$

fields	parameters	Q-exact
$\phi_i = \{1, x, \dots, x^k\}$	$\{t_{k+2},t_{k+1},\ldots t_2\}$	$\partial_x W_{LG} \sim 0$
$\phi_a = \{1, x, \dots, x^\ell\}$	$\{\xi_{(k+2)/2},\ldots,\xi_{(k+2)/2-\ell}\}$	$gcd(J, E) \sim 0$
$\psi_a = \omega \otimes \{1, x, \dots, x^\ell\}$	$\{s_{\ell+1}, s_\ell, \dots, s_1\}$	$gcd(J, E) \sim 0$

(def. parameters s grassmann even, ξ odd def params)

boundary changing fields $(Hom(M_{\ell_1}, M_{\ell_2}))$	between	two
branes M_{ℓ_1} and M_{ℓ_2} :		

fields	parameters	Q-exact
$\phi_a^{\ell_1,\ell_2} = \beta^{\ell_1,\ell_2} \otimes \{1, x, \dots, x^{\ell_{12}}\}$	$\{\xi_a^{\ell_1,\ell_2}\}$	$gcd(J_i, E_i) \sim 0$
$\psi_a^{\ell_1,\ell_2} = \omega^{\ell_1,\ell_2} \otimes \{1, x, \dots, x^{\ell_{12}}\}$	$\{s_a^{\ell_1,\ell_2}\}$	$gcd(J_i, E_i) \sim 0$

 $(\ell_{12} \equiv \min(\ell_1, \ell_2))$

Kontsevich's triangulated category $C_{\mathcal{W}}$

The Landau-Ginzburg model provides a concrete physical realization of Kontsevich's proposal for a certain Z_2 graded derived category (worked out by Orlov, Kapustin, BHLS)

...the objects correspond to our branes M_{ℓ} :

$$M_{\ell} \cong \left(P_1^{(\ell)} \xrightarrow{J^{(\ell)}} P_0^{(\ell)} \right)$$

(graded modules $P_0, P_1 \sim \mathbb{C}[x]$)

...the morphisms correspond precisely to the boundary LG fields introduced above:



All maps J, E, ϕ , ψ have an explicit realization in terms of Landau-Ginzburg quantities (boundary potential, perturbations)

Deforming the theory

infinitesimal perturbations:

$$\delta W_{LG}(x) = -\sum_{i=0}^{k} t_{k+2-i} x^{i}$$

$$\delta J(x) = -\sum_{a=0}^{\ell} u_{\ell+1-a} x^{a}$$

$$\delta E(x) = -x^{k-2\ell} \left(\sum_{a=0}^{\ell} u_{\ell+1-a} x^{a} \right)$$

Effects:

- The supersymmetry is generically broken, since $W_{LG} \neq JE$. It can be restored on submanifolds of the t, u parameters space.
- The spectrum of topological boundary fields is generically truncated, since deg(gcd(J, E)) < deg(J).
- Branes M_{ℓ} can decay/bind to other ones.

Applying the consistency constraints

... only a finite number of polynomials equations.

All correlation functions for the minimal model are uniquely determined once the constraint equations are imposed ! (The A_{∞} relations by themselves do **not** suffice.)

Concise result:

$$\mathcal{W}(s,t) = \oint W_{LG}(x,t) \log \det \mathbb{J}(x,s)$$

where flat bulk LG potential (DVV):

$$W_{LG}(t) = \frac{x^{k+2}}{k+2} - \sum_{i=0}^{k} g_{k+2-i}(t) x^{i}$$

and where (for a pair of branes M_{ℓ_1} , M_{ℓ_2}):

$$\mathbb{J} = \begin{pmatrix} x^{\ell_1+1} - \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\ell_1} s^{[11]}_{\ell_1+1-\alpha} x^{\alpha} & -\sum_{\gamma=0}^{\ell_{12}} s^{[12]}_{\frac{1}{2}(\ell_1+\ell_2)+1-\gamma} x^{\gamma} \\ -\sum_{\gamma=0}^{\ell_{21}} s^{[21]}_{\frac{1}{2}(\ell_1+\ell_2)+1-\gamma} x^{\gamma} & x^{\ell_2+1} - \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\ell_2} s^{[22]}_{\ell_2+1-\alpha} x^{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$

...makes direct contact to the categorial description !

NB: Superpotential can also be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{W}(s,t) = \operatorname{Tr} V(X(s),t)$$

where

$$\partial_x V(x,t) = W_{LG}(x,t)$$
$$X(s) = \text{diag}(x_1(s), ...x_{\ell_1+\ell_2+2}(s))$$
$$\text{det } \mathbb{J}(x,s) = \prod (x - x_i(s))$$
$$\dots \text{Kontsevich matrix model } !$$

18

Properties of deformation space

Matrix factorization \longleftrightarrow crit. locus of $\mathcal{W}(s,t)$

 $\mathbb{E}(x,s,t) = W_{LG}(x,t)/\mathbb{J}(x,s)$ If we require this to be polynomial ($\mathbb{E}_{-} = 0$), then (v.v.):

$$\partial_s \mathcal{W}(s,t) = \oint \operatorname{Tr} \left[\mathbb{E}(x,s,t) \partial_s \mathbb{J}(x,s) \right] = 0$$

This allows to systematically and exactly study composite brane formation ("tachyon condensation", "boundary flows")



Physical realization of cone construction:

triangle: $M_{\ell_1} \xrightarrow{s} M_{\ell_2} \longrightarrow C(s) \longrightarrow M_{\ell_1}[1]$

cone: $C(s) = \left(P_1^{(\ell_1)} \oplus P_1^{(\ell_2)} \overset{\mathbb{J}(s)}{\underset{\mathbb{E}(s)}{\leftarrow}} P_0^{(\ell_1)} \oplus P_0^{(\ell_2)}\right)$

anti-brane (shift functor): swap J, E

$$M_{\ell}[1] = \left(P_0^{(\ell)} \stackrel{-E^{(\ell)}}{\underset{-J^{(\ell)}}{\longleftarrow}} P_1^{(\ell)} \right) \cong M_{k-\ell} .$$

The topological LG model is a nice (the simplest?) toy lab for studying *D*-brane categories !